VISUAL ANALYSIS OF HEAT TRANSPORT IN A UNIQUE OBJECT
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ABSTRACT

The paper presents a visual analysis of heat propagation in selected elements of a unique building structure. Numerous spots of construction deterioration and weakening are exemplary to the presented method and to the procedure of concluding for the purpose of combined research and education at the third academic level of education. The described procedures use a thermographic camera. The most attention has been put to thermal bridges to avoid energy loss in further modernization of the investigated object and how the conclusion process can be supported. The discussion is based on four parallel types of camera imaging: thermal, multispectral, thermal fusion and digital. The selected important aspects of measurement conditions have been discussed to justify the reliability of presented measurements.
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INTRODUCTION

Visualization methods in research can be applied not only to the result presentations but they can form an intermediate stage during the research process itself if they are used with the awareness of properties and possibilities. Image analyses can help in proper procedure selection and to ease the comparative analysis. The relevant equipment and, nowadays obviously together with, appropriate software help the visualization to be a must among heat transfer research methods [1, 2].

The presented measurements take advantage of heat propagation obstacles in four selected available techniques: thermal vision, multispectral imaging, thermal fusion and digital image. The examples use interior and exterior images to present the opposite conclusion processes.

The mentioned techniques can be generally described as follows:

- Thermal vision – full thermal spectra of actually measured values are allocated to appropriate scale of colors and the image shows colors relevant to directly measured temperatures.
- Multispectral imaging – involves different but selected spectra of thermal radiation and visual light combined in one geometrically uniform object but the spectra can be sifted one from another. This enables to detect the influence of geometry into the thermal behavior. But the researcher has to decide which thermal spectra are of minor importance.

Thermal fusion allows to remove the selected regions of thermal measurements and replace them by digital images. The selection is performed by means of color scale allocation in a limited range in comparison to the full thermal vision.

Digital images do not show any thermal measurement values but can point out selected spots and regions related to the ones marked in thermal images. They provide optical clarity of the investigated area.

METHODS AND RESULTS

All image versions presented in figures are performed by means of Flir 440bx camera and its equipment and software tools. The procedures are presented including possible error indication and perspectives for further analysis. The awareness
of appropriate measurement conditions resulting from surface properties is very important to obtain correct results in thermal imaging. Such surface properties as reflectance, smoothness, transparency and emissivity are the most important as they can increase image attractiveness and at the same case can blunt measurement reliability. The following should be avoided while taking such measurements: reflections of a camera itself (and its users), reflections of light from smooth or glossy surfaces and, which is very substantial to the process – transparent obstacles – glass surfaces, in particular, are to be measured with precise knowledge of measurement conditions [7].

Building interior measurements

The example presented in Figure 1 shows the selected part of a building interior. The colors reflecting measurements are allocated in natural coincidence with heat flux propagation direction, i.e.: from blue at lowest temperature values to red at the highest ones. This method is usually recommended if the measurements are taken for the purpose to persuade the observer to prevent from heat losses. The assumed surface emissivity has been established at 0.95, which is appropriate for a painted wall and the temperature distribution is properly recognized by the camera. The only source of misunderstanding can occur for the observer looking at a curtain, partially shading the surface behind. This figure additionally presents the software screen features enabling some extra read outs from the device. The measurement distance is 3 m, room ambient air temperature 20 °C and air relative humidity is 50%. It is also possible to compare with the report given in Figure 5. The particular recommendation for this procedure is to reduce the distance and this could help to increase the measurement precision and avoid curtains in this distance. Concluding from this image, the minimal temperature is about 11 °C, which is likely to result from a gap in layers in consequence of the combined influence of worn – weak internal construction and poor thermal insulation outside the wall. The adjacent digital view on the discussed element does not show the presumed gap which confirms the reliability of presented measurements as visible gaps could give light reflections and even lower the clarity of measurements by thermal vision methods [2, 4].

Another detail that can be analyzed in this figure is a darker band of about 14 °C. This temperature is lower of about 4 C deg. than in the most of the presented ceiling area. This is a typical thermal bridge, resulting from improper wall structure. In the contrary, the upper left window corner does not show any weakness in a wall structure but poor window frame air-tightness. Moreover the perpendicular dark line is the most probably the result of improper scaffolding support during previous modernizations of this part of the building dated almost 100 years now. This multispectral image gives us more details than a typical thermal-vision image (compare Figure 2). This detail is a brighter lines over the window: the first one is definitely the curtain rod (reflecting the light from its shiny surface but not the temperature), the second one (of higher tem-

---

Fig. 1. Multispectral image of building interior
perature) is probably an electric cable in its tube cover [6]. The additional horizontal line appears because the multispectral thermal image is shifted from the digital one. This is the most reliable interpretation as no system documentation is available. The vertical bright element is supposed to be a part of air bleeding pipeline in their space heating system.

Typical errors in imaging, but unavoidable here, are visible on the window glass panels. The bright colors are not reliably reflecting the glass panel temperature but the light reflected from a part of blinds and the curtain falling in folds. This is important to know during such measurements. The blinds are visible behind this curtain not because it is transparent material but because it is not folded and the vision comes out through the lace fabric.

The additional image is presented in Figure 3 in the form of thermal fusion, however, in this case it brings no additional information (in contrary to the exterior images in Figure 6) it is optically more distinctive. The fusion is composed of thermal and digital images. The only digital image of this selected area is presented for comparisons in Figure 4. Shades on the surface inform us of improper conditions in the area where they occur but the real information is delivered in this case from Figure 1.

If one considers the method in aspects of didactics, it is important to use additional visualization convenient for evaluations. These can be performed on the basis of report forms. The example is presented in Figure 5. From the view of evaluators, it is important that, beside images,
Fig. 4. Digital image of the interior area

Fig. 5. Exemplary Report Form generated for the evaluation of measurements
temperature and other conditions are indicated as numbers specified in relevant units.

The required information is provided as indicated in the beginning of this chapter up to the decision of the researcher.

**Building exterior measurements**

Similar but reverse method of concluding from exterior images is applied to the Figures 6 – 9. The selected example refers to the other part of the building to exhibit interesting aspects of concluding.

The emissivity of the analyzed area is 0.85 and thus it is lower than in interior examples. It is justified by the fact of higher exposition to daylight from one point of view and by the rough gray surface from the other one. The ambient air temperature is about –4 °C and the distance is closer than previously – that is 1 m which helps to reduce reflections. The direction of taking the measurements is important especially in the case of exterior images because of possible sunlight exposition. In this case it is south-west direction which is usually advantageous because it helps avoid reflections naturally. In this example of a patio, the direction is not so meaningful.

It is visible that in between the two windows the area of higher temperature appears. There are some symptoms visible in Figure 9 but not sufficiently justifying the temperature difference of 8 °C [5]. Again, there is no technical documentation to this part of the building and only the owners recall a former back door entrance to the building in this place. Definitely, the work performed was not of high quality and the interior heat leaks out from the corridor there. Moreover, the horizontal bright line in the bottom of the wall suggests there is no proper soil insulation and there is a heat leakage spot too. Additionally, some moisture can remain in this place without proper removal.

Thermal vision presented in Figure 7. confirms the multispectral effect but does not contain meaningful surface details. In the multispectral image, these details are shifted geometrically from the thermal indication but this does not harm the conclusion. The visible deterioration of the façade shows that it has already become infested by wet rot. This image shows the area of sunlight reflection from the parts of window frames, especially in this case – from the left vertical one. Thermal fusion (Fig. 8) brings this information but at the same time removes parts of important temperature distribution, which shows that it is not always useful method of thermal imaging.

Moreover, the windows also show their secrets. Generally, the technique of installment of the new type of windows is well known and properly performed but the details which are not so common are often poorly performed. This is the case of cone-shaped details more visibly presented in multispectral shift – the brighter frame area is wider there and thus the heat leaks more through the wider section. Definitely, this thermal contact resistance could be analyzed in micro scale and the results could help to improve the performance of similar elements.

---

Fig. 6. Multispectral image of the building exterior
Fig. 7. Thermal Vision example

Fig. 8. Thermal Fusion example

Fig. 9. Digital image of the wall in the analyzed patio
CONCLUSIONS

On the basis of the presented examples one can formulate a list of damages occurring in building facades and improper thermal insulation in the investigated object elements. The refurbishment seems necessary and at the time the paper is formulated it really happens. But the main idea to formulate the paper is to analyze different aspects of thermal visualization at research and/or during the doctoral stage of academic education (so called the third level). The indirect measurement methods require high proficiency in theory and need practicing to acquire high level of performance. It should be stressed that exclusively optical verification is not appropriate in this visualization method.

The quantitative temperature analysis has been included in the range of about 25 °C, in between –5 °C and 20 °C that is typical for the most of the heating season in the region where the measurements are performed – it is representative then [3].

Some of the points seem most important for the authors. This thermal-visual method is especially appropriate for unique building objects with poor provision of technical documentation and can substitute it in a form. The adapted procedure based on applied particular four ways of imaging proved to be useful, complementary and appropriate. The indication on micro scale thermal analysis of thermal contact resistance in selected elements is meaningful for the predicted series of further research.
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