INTRODUCTION

Jewelry is a dynamically developing branch. Over the years, the services provided have changed and expanded their scope. New technologies related to the design and production of jewelry have been developed [33]. Currently, the jewelry industry is characterized by a high level of quality, repeatability and precision compared to previous years.

Nowadays, people value originality, which makes the implementation of individual projects very popular. The ubiquitous computerization of manufacturing [11, 13, 16] and design processes [1, 5, 25, 37] have largely contributed to this [14÷15, 22, 27]. These activities became a part of the prevailing smart & sustainable manufacturing trends [18, 36]. One of the modern computer design processes that is used increasingly often, is the process of reverse engineering, the essence of which is to enable the reconstruction of defects in the already existing products or their complete redesign according to the clients’ preferences. For this purpose, scanning devices are used to digitize precision products that can be further processed. The completed project is fed to the 3D printer, which produces the initial model (pattern / casting model) [2÷4, 38]. Owing to this, it is possible to make the first try-on parts, check the real size of the designed element and most importantly, make the final product based on it. The last stage of this process is the production of the redesigned product by means of the casting process and its final finishing, e.g. removal of visible defects, polishing or the deposition of additional elements, e.g. stones [26, 33].

Reverse engineering is the reproduction of the actual product structure as well as implementation of new ideas related to them. It is a process that brings a number of innovative solutions with it. Reverse engineering makes it possible to recreate elements based on the information...
about a physical object by analyzing and processing them [29]. It is one of the elements of the fourth industrial revolution, a system integrating industrial infrastructure, i.e. machines, with information technologies and the Internet [9, 10, 30]. This issue covers the additive technologies, such as 3D design, 3D scanning and 3D printing, which are the main stages of the process of recreating elements [35].

After generating virtual models of physical objects, it is possible to analyze them, implement individual changes to the internal and external structure, and perform strength tests of elements. The most useful application of reverse engineering to improve the manufacturing process is the production of spare parts. Owing to the development of this technology, the process has gained popularity. In the times of Industry 4.0, reverse engineering is used in various fields, i.e. [24]:

- automotive (obtaining parts of the vehicles that have long been withdrawn from production),
- aviation (obtaining spare parts for airplanes that are required after several years of use),
- art (recreating artistic objects, e.g. sculptures),
- medicine (manufacturing of implants) [32, 34],
- computer graphics (obtaining 3D data from a model or sculpture for animation in games and movies),
- jewelry (obtaining information from precision products for the production of individual projects).

The use of a process belonging to the rapid production methods (rapid prototyping) by jewelers significantly increases the flexibility of the work performed in a much shorter time than in the case of the traditional methods. The use of reverse engineering in this field allows saving time and performing complex patterns. Jewelry workshops should have modern machinery in order to perform the services based on the reverse engineering process. For the production of jewelry, appropriate 3D scanners [6], computers with special CAD software (ComputerAided Design) [25, 31] and 3D printers are used, which play a significant role. Such devices testify to the innovativeness of the jewelry production plant [17, 30].

Consumers in the 21st century focus primarily on convenience and thus the option of purchasing in the Internet. The buyer has the option to remotely commission a jewelry company to manufacture precise products according to his requirements. It works on the basis of B2C (Business-to-Consumer) and C2B (Consumer-to-Business). Entrepreneurs want to satisfy their clients at every stage; therefore it is important to follow the trends in a given period [23].

This article deals with the application of reverse engineering to redesign a selected jewelry element in the aspect of the impact of various materials and technologies used in 3D printing.

**METHODOLOGY**

The described product was digitized using two selected 3D scanners. The first was the 3D structured light scanner – DAVID SLS-3 [19÷21, 28]. The device is designed to digitize larger items. For example, it is used for scanning prostheses of body parts. The working area of the device is 60-500 mm, and its accuracy is 0.05 mm. The test setup consisted of an industrial camera with a high-quality lens, video projector, computer workstation and research model. Despite the purpose of the device for scanning larger objects, it was decided to test its operation with the use of a jewelry product.

The second scan was carried out using the Aicon SmartSCAN-HE R8 optical contactless scanner (Fig. 1). The device enables 3D digitization of small-sized precision products and is equipped with white light technology, enabling to read additional information about the color required at the design stage. The accuracy of the measurement is 0.01 mm. The test setup consisted of a computer workstation with dedicated Optocat software [12] (it includes all the steps of the 3D scanning process – calibration, scanning and final data processing and evaluation), scanner, research object and a special substrate.

![Fig. 1. Aicon SmartSCAN-HE R8 optical scanner](image-url)
At the beginning, the research object, which was a brooch, was carefully covered with an anti-reflective coating. The next step was to start the automatic three-dimensional scanning process. The projection unit projected a sequence of fringes onto the measurement object. The scan result was obtained in the STL format. At the end of this stage, the data related to the scanned object was processed (edited) in Optocat.

The obtained geometries were imported to Autodesk Meshmixer 3.5. It is software intended for editing 3D models, among others for 3D printing. It has the function of merging many models into one, redesigning the object and perfecting the details. The program has tools that allow carving, transforming, connecting and cutting the surfaces of the imported files, etc.

The developed models were printed using the Zortrax M200 [39] and Liquid Crystal HR [7]. The Zortrax M200 printer (Fig. 2) uses FDM technology, has a minimum layer thickness of 0.09 mm, a working area of 200×200×180 mm and a 0.4 mm nozzle.

The Liquid Crystal HR printer (Fig. 3) uses DPP technology, the minimum layer thickness is 0.05 mm, and the working area with a resolution of 97 microns is 196×147×250 mm.

On the basis of the printed models, the molds needed to make the castings using the centrifugal force were prepared. First, the material of the gating systems was melted with a soldering iron, then the models were assembled. The prepared elements (the so-called “sprue trees”) were mounted in rubber washers on which the metal sleeves were mounted. In the next step, gypsum mass was prepared and poured over the molds. Finally, the molds were placed for about 5 min in a vacuum pump to deaerate the material. After two hours, the mass set and the molds were placed into the Nabertherm N 150 WAX furnace for the annealing process (Fig. 4). The mold annealing time was 12.5 h [8].

An appropriate amount of material (CuSn10P) for casting process was prepared. The crucible with the alloy was placed in an induction furnace. The tin-phosphor alloy was heated to the flow temperature. The previously made mold was placed in the centrifugal force pouring machine (Fig. 5). The filled mold was put into the water. The casting was pulled out from the liquid. The above-mentioned casting procedure was used for all 3D printed models.

In the final stage, the roughness of the castings was measured using a MarSurf PS 10 profilometer by MAHR. The measurement consists in examining surface irregularities with a transducer (mechanical, piezo/photo electric or induction), which converts the irregularities of the test surface into an electrical signal in the form of Ra, Rz. The test setup is shown in Figue 6.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Scanning with the DAVID SLS-3 device was not as precise as with the Aicon SmartSCAN-HE R8. The second scanner had a more precise camera that more accurately reproduced small objects. The DAVID SLS-3 device is not suitable for digitizing precision products. It is much better suited for scanning larger items, e.g. body parts for making limb prostheses. The resulting scans made on this device contain imprecise data on the shape of the object, which relocated into the difficulty of making the model. The scanned object and the result of the obtained models are shown in Figure 7.
After the analysis of the surface discontinuities of the obtained files (visible defects in the structure or non-geometric edges deviating from the original with the naked eye), a decision was made to choose the model generated by the Aicon device for further editing.

At the beginning of the model processing, a triangle mesh with many defects was examined (Fig. 8). Red and blue references indicate discontinuities in the model mesh, while the magenta spheres refer to relatively small areas that are disconnected from the whole.

Then, by selecting the “Auto repair” tool, most errors were automatically removed (Fig. 9).

The remaining defects in the form of holes and cavities were filled manually with the “Smooth MVC” tool (Fig. 10).

Finally, the model was redesigned by adding hemispheres to each leaf of the model. The final effect is shown in Figure 11.

The next step was to manufacture the created project. The basic process data of 3D printing is presented in the Table 1 and its results are shown in Figure 12.

Then, after preparation and annealing, the molds were poured with the B101 (CuSn10P) liquid alloy using the centrifugal force, to obtain the castings shown in Figure 13.
In the last stage, the surface roughness was measured. Due to the large curvature of the casting surface, it was decided to use the lower, least curved part of the casting for the tests. The Ra roughness measurement results are shown in Table 2, whereas the graphs are shown in Figures 14–15. The calculated standard deviation is shown in Table 3.

On the basis of the data above, it was concluded that the better surface quality was obtained in a casting made on the basis of a resin model. Standard deviation and Ra roughness index values are smaller compared to the values obtained on the casting made of the HIPS.

Table 1. The process data of 3D printing used in the studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Printer</th>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Layer thickness [mm]</th>
<th>Print time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zortrax M200</td>
<td>FDM</td>
<td>HIPS</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1 h 35 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Crystal HR</td>
<td>DPP</td>
<td>Castable Resin</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2 h 45 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. The roughness Ra measurement results obtained from surface tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement number</th>
<th>Casting made on the basis of the DPP photopolymer resin model [μm]</th>
<th>Casting made on the basis of the HIPS thermoplastic polymer model [μm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>0.417</td>
<td>1.628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>0.384</td>
<td>2.233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>0.334</td>
<td>1.787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>0.581</td>
<td>1.899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>0.604</td>
<td>2.280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>0.824</td>
<td>1.512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>0.429</td>
<td>2.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>0.873</td>
<td>1.642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>0.557</td>
<td>2.431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>0.538</td>
<td>1.977</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONCLUSIONS

In the article, the authors presented the process of obtaining and editing geometry on the example of an already existing jewelry. It was proven that the low-cost DAVID SLS-3 device is not suitable for digitizing precision products, in contrast to the Aicon SmartSCAN-HER8. However, it should be emphasized that in both cases, the obtained model required an editing intervention by the operator. The last stage was the execution and measurement of the roughness of the castings made using the investment casting method which is considered to be one of the most accurate casting technologies. As a result of the measurements, it was shown that the use of DPP printing to make the casting models results in obtaining the castings with almost 3 times lower (max. value) roughness in relation to the FDM technology. Moreover, as a result of calculating the standard deviation, the deviation of the obtained roughness values should also be taken into account, which is halved for the castings based on the DPP technology, compared to those of FDM.
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